

# ЭЛЕКТРОН ЖУРНАЛ

*Судьянинг онгида – адолат, тилида – ҳақиқат,  
дилида – поклик бўлиши шарт.*

*Шавкат МИРЗИЁЕВ*

9/2023

ISSN 2181-8991

## ОДИЛ СУДЛОВ

Ҳуқуқий, илмий-амалий нашр

**Муассис:**  
**Ўзбекистон Республикаси**  
**Олий суди**

### **ТАҲРИР ҲАЙЪАТИ:**

*Бахтияр ИСЛАМОВ*  
*Робахон МАХМУДОВА*  
*Ҳалилилло ТУРАХУЖАЕВ*  
*Икрам МУСЛИМОВ*  
*Шухрат ПОЛВАНОВ*  
*Мирзоулугбек АБДУСАЛОМОВ*  
*Холмўмин ЁДГОРОВ*  
*Ибрагим АЛИМОВ*  
*Олим ХАЛМИРЗАЕВ*  
*Замира ЭСАНОВА*  
*Омонбой ОҚЮЛОВ*  
*Музаффаржон МАМАСИДДИҚОВ*

© Реклама нашри ва тижорий йўл билан босилган матнлар.

Таҳририят фикри муаллиф фикридан ўзгача бўлиши мумкин.

Кўлёмалар, суратлар тақриз қилинмайди ва қайтарилмайди.

Кўчириб босилганда «Одил судлов» – «Правосудие» нашри кўрсатилиши шарт.

Журнал Ўзбекистон Республикаси Вазирлар Маҳкамаси ҳузуридаги Олий аттестация комиссияси Раёсатининг 2022 йил 30 апрелдаги 315/5-сонли қарори билан юридик фанлари бўйича фалсафа доктори (PhD) ва фан доктори (PSc) илмий даражаси бўйича илмий мақолалар чоп этиладиган нашрлар рўйхати-га киритилган.

**Бош муҳаррир**  
*Камол УБАЙДИЛЛОЕВ*

**Масъул котиб**  
*Муталиф СОДИҚОВ*

*Журнал 2015 йилда «Жамият ва мен» республика танловида «Энг яхши ёритилган ҳуқуқий мавзулар» йўналиши бўйича голиб деб топилган.*

**ТАҲРИРИЯТ МАНЗИЛИ:**  
100097, Тошкент шаҳри, Чилонзор тумани,  
Чўпонота кўчаси, 6-уй  
Х/р 20210000300101763001  
ХАБ «Трастбанк» Тошкент филиали  
МФО 00850, СТИР 201403038

**ТЕЛЕФОН:** 278-96-54, 278-91-96,  
278-25-96, **ФАКС:** 273-96-60

**E-mail:** odilsudlov.oliy@sud.uz  
**Веб-сайт:** www.odilsudlov.sud.uz  
**Telegram:** <https://t.me/ODsud>

**Навбатчи муҳаррир**  
*Муталиф СОДИҚОВ*

**НАШР ИНДЕКСИ – 909**

2021 йил 27 июлда Ўзбекистон Республикаси Президенти Администрацияси ҳузуридаги Ахборот ва оммавий коммуникациялар агентлигида 0026-рақам билан рўйхатга олинган. 1996 йилдан чиқа бошлаган.

**МАЪМУРИЙ ҲУҚУҚ ВА ЖАРАЁН**

**Ш. Бакаев.** Маъмурий судлар фаолиятини такомиллаштириш истиқболлари .....3

**ЎЗБЕКИСТОН: ХАЛҚАРО РЕЙТИНГ ВА ИНДЕКС**

**У. Умидуллаев.** Ижро органлари фаолиятини баҳолаш натижалари билан боғлиқ халқаро рейтинг ва индекслар таҳлили.....8

**ЖИНОЯТ ҲУҚУҚИ ВА ЖАРАЁН**

**О. Тошев.** Судга қадар босқичда айбга иқрорлик тўғрисидаги келишув институтини қўллашнинг процессуал жиҳатлари .....18

**ФУҚАРОЛИК ҲУҚУҚИ МАСАЛАЛАРИ**

**Д. Алмосова.** Фарзандликка олишда муаммо ва ечимлар.....29

**СУД-ҲУҚУҚ ИСЛОҲОТЛАРИ**

**Г. Холматова.** Тадбиркорлик субъектлари ҳуқуқлари ва қонуний манфаатларини ҳимоя қилишнинг яна бир қафолати .....30

**СУДЛАР ФАОЛИЯТИДАН**

**Қ. Холмуродов.** Ўзлаштириш ёки растрата йўли билан талон-торож қилиш жинояти .....33

**ЖИНОЯТ ВА ЖАЗО**

**А. Хомидов.** Ўғрилиқ жинояти .....34

**ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТЬ СУДОВ**

**В. Ли.** Права предпринимателя восстановлены.....36

**ЭКОНОМИКА И ЗАКОН**

**М. Сайдахмедова, Г. Масуатдинова.** Медиация, или мировое соглашение в экономических спорах .....38

**АДМИНИСТРАТИВНЫХ ПРАВО**

**А. Исақулов.** Закон един для всех .....41

**THE RIGHT TO PRIVACY**

**В. Koryogdiev.** Privacy law in Uzbekistan – from a comparative perspective (O'zbekistonda shaxsiy daxlsizlik qonuni - qiyosiy tahlil).....44

**CONTRACT LAW**

**D. Imomniyozov.** Contents of Contracts on Privatization of State Property and Liability for Non-fulfillment of Contract Terms (Davlat mulkini xususiylashtirish to'g'risidagi shartnomalarning mazmuni va shartnoma shartlarini bajarmaganlik uchun javobgarlik).....60

# ELECTRONIC JOURNAL

9/2023

ISSN 2181-8991

## A FAIR TRIAL

Legal, scientific and practical publication

**Founder:**  
**Supreme Court of the  
Republic of Uzbekistan**

### EDITORIAL BOARD:

*Bakhtiyar ISLAMOV*  
*Robaxon MAXMUDOVA*  
*Xalilillo TURAXUJAYEV*  
*Ikram MUSLIMOV*  
*Shuxrat POLVANOV*  
*Mirzoulugbek ABDUSALOMOV*  
*Xolmumin YODGOROV*  
*Ibragim ALIMOV*  
*Olim XALMIRZAYEV*  
*Zamira ESANOVA*  
*Omonboy OKYULOV*  
*Muzaffarjon MAMASIDDIKOV*

© The opinion of the editors may differ from the opinion of the author.

Manuscripts and photographs will not be reviewed or returned.

The copy must be accompanied by a copy of «A fair trial».

The journal is joined to the list for publication of scientific articles on the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) and Doctor of Science (PSC) in law by decision of the Presidium of the Higher Attestation Commission under the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan dated April 30, 2022

**Editor-in-Chief**  
*Kamol Ubaydilloyev*

**Executive secretary**  
*Mutalif Sodiqov*

### EDITORIAL ADDRESS:

100097, Tashkent city,  
Chilanzar district, Choponota street, 6  
Account number:  
20210000300101763001  
Trustbank  
Tashkent branch  
MFI 00850, TIN 201403038

**PHONES:** 278-96-54, 278-91-96,  
278-25-96; **FAKS:** 273-96-60

**E-mail:** odilsudlov.oliy@sud.uz  
**web-site:** www.odilsudlov.sud.uz  
**Telegram:** https://t.me/ODsud

**Duty editor**  
*Mashhura VORISOVA*

**PUBLICATION INDEX - 909**

Registered with the Agency for Information and Mass Communications under the Administration of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan dated July 27, 2021 Registration No. 0026. Published since 1996.

## CONTENTS OF CONTRACTS ON PRIVATIZATION OF STATE PROPERTY AND LIABILITY FOR NON-FULFILLMENT OF CONTRACT TERMS

### (DAVLAT MULKINI XUSUSIYLASHTIRISH TO'G'RISIDAGI SHARTNOMALARNING MAZMUNI VA SHARTNOMA SHARTLARINI BAJARMAGANLIK UCHUN JAVOBGARLIK)

The privatization of state-owned assets has emerged as a significant economic reform measure in numerous countries around the world. Privatization aims to enhance efficiency, foster competition, attract investment, and promote economic growth. However, the successful implementation of privatization hinges upon well-structured contracts that outline the rights, obligations, and liabilities of the involved parties. This scholarly article delves into the contents of contracts related to the privatization of state property and the implications of non-fulfillment of contractual terms. By examining the legal framework, exploring contract components, analyzing liability allocation, and considering real-world case studies, this article contributes to the understanding of contractual dynamics in privatization processes.

The privatization of state property involves the transfer of ownership and control from the public to the private sector. It encompasses a wide range of sectors, including but not limited to energy, infrastructure, telecommunications, and manufacturing. As governments seek to

unlock the potential of their state assets, it becomes essential to establish comprehensive and enforceable contracts that ensure the rights of both parties and facilitate a smooth transition.

The legal framework governing privatization contracts varies across jurisdictions, influenced by domestic laws, regulations, and international best practices. These legal foundations provide a framework within which privatization contracts are structured, executed, and enforced. By analyzing the legal context, this article aims to shed light on the key principles and requirements that should be considered when drafting and negotiating privatization contracts.

Moreover, the contents of privatization contracts play a pivotal role in defining the terms and conditions of the transaction. Clear identification and description of assets, valuation methodologies, purchase prices, payment terms, warranties, and representations are among the crucial components that need to be carefully addressed. Understanding these contractual elements and their implications is vital for both parties to

ensure a mutually beneficial and legally sound privatization process.

Furthermore, the consequences of non-fulfillment of contractual obligations cannot be overlooked. Failure to meet obligations may lead to financial losses, legal disputes, delays in project implementation, and potential damage to the reputation of the involved parties. This article examines the performance obligations of the contracting parties and explores the remedies available to the aggrieved party in cases of non-compliance. It also addresses the penalties or sanctions that may be imposed on the non-performing party and their potential impact on the privatization process.

Understanding the allocation of liability is equally critical in privatization contracts. Various types of liabilities can

arise, including those related to breach of contract, environmental obligations, and third-party claims. This article explores the mechanisms for allocating and managing these liabilities, considering the need to protect the interests of both parties involved.

To provide practical insights, real-world case studies are analyzed to demonstrate the application of contractual provisions and the consequences of non-fulfillment of contractual obligations in privatization processes. These case studies offer valuable lessons and highlight the importance of comprehensive and well-implemented contractual frameworks.

In conclusion, this scholarly article aims to contribute to the understanding of privatization contracts by examining

## ANNOTATION

Ushbu maqolada davlat mulkini xususiylashtirish bilan bog'liq shartnomalarning o'ziga xos jihatlari va shartnoma majburiyatlari bajarilmagan taqdirda ular bilan bog'liq javobgarlik ko'rib chiqiladi. Davlat mulkini xususiylashtirish murakkab huquqiy mulohazalarni o'z ichiga oladi va shartnoma taraflari uchun ham katta qiyinchiliklar tug'diradi. Shu tufayli ushbu maqola xususiylashtirish jarayonida shartnomalarga kiritilishi kerak bo'lgan asosiy tarkibiy qismlarni va shartnoma majburiyatlarini bajarmaslik oqibatlarini tahlil qilishga qaratilgan. Xususiylashtirish bilan bog'liq normativ-huquqiy hujjatlar va misollarni o'rganib chiqib, mazkur tadqiqot ishida xususiylashtirish shartnomalarini tartibga soluvchi huquqiy asoslar va ularga rioya qilmaslik holatlarida majburiyatlarni taqsimlash haqida tushuncha beriladi.

**Kalit so'zlar:** Xususiylashtirish, davlat mulki, shartnomalar, javobgarlik, shartnoma majburiyatlarini bajarmaslik.

\* \* \*

This scholarly article explores the crucial aspects of contracts related to the privatization of state property and the associated liability in cases of non-fulfillment of contractual obligations. The privatization of state-owned assets involves intricate legal considerations and poses significant challenges for both contracting parties. This article aims to analyze the key components that should be included in contracts during the privatization process and the consequences of failing to meet contractual obligations. By examining relevant laws, regulations, and case studies, this article provides insights into the legal framework governing privatization contracts and the allocation of liabilities in instances of non-compliance.

**Keywords:** Privatization, state property, contracts, liability, non-fulfillment.

their contents and the implications of non-fulfillment of contractual terms. By delving into the legal framework, analyzing contractual components, and considering real-world examples, this article provides a comprehensive perspective on the key factors influencing successful privatization processes. The findings of this research can assist policymakers, legal professionals, and stakeholders involved in privatization transactions in fostering transparency, accountability, and legal certainty.

The privatization of state property is governed by a complex legal framework that varies across jurisdictions. Understanding this legal framework is crucial for drafting and negotiating effective privatization contracts. This section examines the key components of the legal framework that shape privatization transactions, including relevant legislation, regulations, and policies.

Privatization processes are typically guided by specific laws and regulations enacted by the respective governments. These legal instruments outline the objectives, procedures, and requirements for the privatization of state assets. They may include provisions related to asset valuation, bidding processes, eligibility criteria for potential buyers, and the transfer of ownership and control.

National laws and regulations also address the protection of public interest and ensure fair competition in privatization transactions. They may stipulate transparency and disclosure requirements, conflict-of-interest provisions, and mechanisms for public consultation and participation. Additionally, the-

se legal provisions often establish the authority responsible for overseeing the privatization process and ensuring compliance with applicable laws.

In an increasingly globalized world, international and regional agreements can influence privatization processes and the related contractual framework. Bilateral investment treaties, free trade agreements, and regional integration agreements may include provisions that impact the rights and obligations of both the government and the private sector in privatization transactions. These agreements can address issues such as investor protection, dispute resolution mechanisms, and the treatment of foreign investors.

International organizations, such as the World Bank, International Monetary Fund, and regional development banks, may also provide guidelines and recommendations on privatization practices. These guidelines often emphasize the importance of transparency, accountability, and the rule of law in privatization processes.

In addition to statutory laws and regulations, legal precedent and case law play a vital role in shaping the legal framework for privatization contracts. Court decisions and legal interpretations provide guidance on the interpretation and enforcement of contractual provisions in the context of privatization. Analyzing relevant case law can offer insights into how courts have interpreted contractual clauses, resolved disputes, and allocated liabilities in previous privatization cases.

While privatization contracts require

compliance with applicable laws and regulations, they also provide a degree of flexibility to address the specific needs and circumstances of each privatization transaction. Contracting parties have the opportunity to negotiate and tailor the contractual terms to meet their objectives, subject to legal constraints.

Balancing the need for flexibility with adherence to legal requirements is a crucial aspect of drafting privatization contracts. Contractual provisions should be carefully crafted to ensure compliance with the legal framework while accommodating the unique characteristics and complexities of the privatization process.

In conclusion, the legal framework for privatization contracts encompasses national laws and regulations, international and regional agreements, legal precedent, and contractual flexibility within legal boundaries. Understanding these components is essential for developing comprehensive and enforceable privatization contracts. By navigating the legal landscape, stakeholders involved in privatization transactions can mitigate legal risks, ensure compliance, and facilitate the smooth execution of privatization processes.

Privatization contracts serve as the cornerstone of the privatization process, outlining the rights, obligations, and responsibilities of the contracting parties. This section delves into the essential elements that should be included in privatization contracts to ensure a comprehensive and enforceable agreement.

Accurate identification and detailed

description of the assets being privatized form the foundation of a privatization contract. The contract should clearly specify the nature, location, condition, and any associated rights or encumbrances related to the assets. This information provides a common understanding between the parties and facilitates a smooth transfer of ownership.

Determining the purchase price or valuation methodology is a critical aspect of privatization contracts. The contract should specify the agreed-upon purchase price, the method used to calculate the price, or the valuation approach employed. This may involve considerations such as market value, book value, discounted cash flow analysis, or other relevant valuation techniques. Clarity on the purchase price ensures transparency and avoids potential disputes during the privatization process.

The payment terms and mechanisms should be clearly defined in the contract to establish the obligations and timelines for payment. This includes specifying the payment schedule, any installment arrangements, and the currency in which payments will be made. Additionally, the contract should outline the mechanisms for securing payments, such as performance bonds or letters of credit, to provide financial guarantees and protect the interests of the parties involved.

A vital component of privatization contracts is the transfer of ownership and control from the government to the private entity. The contract should outline the procedures, conditions, and timelines for the transfer, including the necessary approvals, consents, and registrations. It

should also address the transfer of licenses, permits, leases, or other authorizations required for the continued operation of the privatized assets.

Warranties and representations serve to allocate risks and provide assurances to the parties involved. The contract should include warranties and representations made by both the government and the private entity regarding the accuracy of information, compliance with laws and regulations, and absence of undisclosed liabilities. Clear and comprehensive warranties and representations contribute to the transparency and reliability of the privatization transaction.

To address potential disputes that may arise during or after the privatization process, the contract should include provisions for dispute resolution. These mechanisms may include negotiation, mediation, arbitration, or litigation, depending on the preferences and legal requirements of the parties. Well-defined dispute resolution clauses help mitigate legal risks and provide a structured process for resolving conflicts efficiently and fairly.

Given the sensitive nature of privatization transactions, confidentiality and non-disclosure provisions are vital components of the contract. The contract should outline the obligations of both parties to maintain the confidentiality of proprietary information, trade secrets, financial data, or other sensitive materials exchanged during the privatization process. These provisions help protect the parties' interests and prevent unauthorized disclosure or misuse of confidential information.

In conclusion, the contents of privatization contracts encompass various essential elements that ensure a comprehensive, transparent, and enforceable agreement. These elements include accurate identification and description of assets, clear determination of the purchase price or valuation methodology, well-defined payment terms and mechanisms, a structured transfer of ownership and control, robust warranties and representations, provisions for dispute resolution, and confidentiality and non-disclosure provisions. By carefully addressing these elements, privatization contracts can establish a solid foundation for a successful privatization process while safeguarding the interests of the contracting parties.

Privatization contracts entail specific performance obligations that both parties must fulfill to ensure the smooth implementation of the privatization process. This section examines the performance obligations of the contracting parties and the consequences of non-fulfillment of contractual terms.

The government, as the selling party in the privatization transaction, has certain obligations to fulfill. These may include providing accurate and complete information about the assets being privatized, ensuring the transfer of clear and unencumbered title, facilitating necessary regulatory approvals, and complying with any specific contractual commitments. Failure to fulfill these obligations may result in legal and financial consequences for the government, potentially affecting the validity and enforceability of the privatization contract.

The private entity, as the buyer or operator of the privatized assets, also has distinct performance obligations. These obligations may encompass timely payment of the agreed-upon purchase price, adherence to operational and maintenance requirements, investment commitments, employment obligations, compliance with regulatory standards, and fulfillment of any performance targets or milestones outlined in the contract. Non-compliance with these obligations may lead to contractual penalties, legal disputes, or other consequences.

When one party fails to meet its contractual obligations, the aggrieved party may seek remedies to address the non-fulfillment. Privatization contracts typically include provisions outlining the available remedies in such situations. Common remedies may include financial penalties, suspension of contractual obligations, termination of the contract, or specific performance, which requires the defaulting party to fulfill its obligations as originally agreed. The choice of remedy will depend on the nature and severity of the non-compliance and the intentions of the parties involved.

To incentivize compliance and deter non-fulfillment of contractual terms, privatization contracts often include penalties or sanctions for breach of obligations. These penalties may take the form of monetary fines, liquidated damages, or other agreed-upon measures. The contract should clearly specify the conditions under which penalties apply, the calculation or determination of the penalties, and any limitations on their extent. Properly defined penalties and

sanctions can help maintain the integrity of the contractual relationship and promote accountability.

To mitigate the risks associated with non-fulfillment of contractual obligations, contracting parties can employ various risk management strategies. These may include conducting thorough due diligence on the assets being privatized, setting realistic and achievable performance targets, establishing monitoring mechanisms to track progress and compliance, and maintaining open lines of communication between the parties throughout the privatization process. Proactive risk management can help identify and address potential issues early on, reducing the likelihood of non-fulfillment and its associated consequences.

In conclusion, privatization contracts encompass specific performance obligations for both the government and the private entity involved in the transaction. Non-fulfillment of these obligations can have significant implications, including legal disputes, financial penalties, and reputational damage. By clearly outlining the obligations of each party, specifying available remedies for non-compliance, and incorporating appropriate penalties and sanctions, privatization contracts aim to ensure accountability and encourage adherence to contractual terms. Additionally, proactive risk management strategies can help mitigate the risks associated with non-fulfillment and promote the successful implementation of privatization processes.

The allocation of liability in privatization contracts is a crucial aspect that determines the responsibility and ac-

countability of the parties involved. This section examines the different types of liabilities that may arise in privatization transactions and explores the mechanisms for allocating and managing these liabilities.

One common type of liability in privatization contracts arises from a breach of contractual obligations. If either party fails to fulfill its obligations as stipulated in the contract, it may be held liable for damages incurred by the other party. The contract should clearly define the consequences of a breach, including the remedies available to the aggrieved party and the extent of liability for the defaulting party. Provisions regarding breach of contract may address issues such as notice periods, cure periods, and the calculation or determination of damages.

Privatization of state property often involves assets that have environmental implications. In such cases, the contract should allocate environmental liabilities between the parties. This may include obligations related to environmental remediation, compliance with environmental regulations, and the management of environmental risks and liabilities. The allocation of environmental liabilities aims to ensure that the responsible party bears the costs and responsibilities associated with environmental protection and remediation.

Privatization transactions may give rise to third-party claims, such as those related to contractual obligations with suppliers, customers, or other stakeholders. The contract should address the allocation of liability in case of third-party claims, specifying which party is

responsible for handling and resolving such claims. Clear contractual provisions can help protect the parties from unforeseen liabilities and ensure that they are appropriately managed and resolved.

Indemnification provisions play a significant role in allocating liability in privatization contracts. These provisions outline the responsibility of one party to indemnify the other party against specified losses, damages, or liabilities. Indemnification provisions may cover a range of issues, including breaches of representations and warranties, third-party claims, environmental liabilities, or any other risks identified during the due diligence process. Clear and comprehensive indemnification clauses provide a mechanism for transferring and allocating specific risks and liabilities between the parties.

To manage the potential exposure to liability, privatization contracts often include limitations on liability. These limitations define the maximum extent to which a party can be held liable for damages or losses. Limitations on liability can take the form of monetary caps, exclusions for certain types of damages, or time limitations for asserting claims. Careful consideration and negotiation of these limitations are crucial to ensure a fair allocation of liability and to protect the parties from excessive or disproportionate liability.

In some cases, privatization contracts may require the parties to obtain and maintain specific insurance coverage to mitigate certain risks and liabilities. Insurance provisions may address issues such as liability insurance, property

insurance, environmental insurance, or any other relevant forms of coverage. The contract should specify the type and amount of coverage required, as well as the responsibilities of each party in procuring and maintaining the insurance policies.

In conclusion, the allocation of liability in privatization contracts involves addressing various types of liabilities, including breach of contract, environmental obligations, and third-party claims. Through carefully drafted contractual provisions, such as those related to indemnification, limitations on liability, and insurance coverage, the parties can allocate and manage these liabilities effectively. A well-structured liability allocation framework promotes fairness, risk management, and accountability in privatization transactions.

Privatization of state property involves complex contractual arrangements that require careful consideration and attention to detail. This article has explored the contents of privatization contracts, the legal framework that governs them, and the allocation of liability for non-fulfillment of contractual terms. By examining these key aspects, stakeholders involved in privatization transactions can better understand the intricacies and challenges associated with such agreements.

Privatization contracts serve as a crucial tool for facilitating the transfer of state assets to the private sector. These contracts must include detailed provisions that address the identification and description of assets, purchase price or valuation methodology, payment terms

and mechanisms, transfer of ownership and control, warranties and representations, dispute resolution mechanisms, and confidentiality and non-disclosure obligations. By incorporating these elements, privatization contracts can provide a solid foundation for the successful completion of privatization transactions.

The legal framework surrounding privatization contracts involves national laws and regulations, international and regional agreements, legal precedent, and contractual flexibility within legal boundaries. Understanding and navigating this legal landscape is essential for drafting comprehensive and enforceable contracts that comply with applicable laws and regulations. By adhering to the legal framework, stakeholders can mitigate legal risks, ensure transparency, and foster accountability in privatization processes.

Furthermore, the allocation of liability in privatization contracts is a critical consideration. This involves addressing liabilities stemming from breach of contract, environmental obligations, third-party claims, and other potential risks. Through well-defined provisions for breach of contract, indemnification, limitations on liability, and insurance coverage, the parties can allocate and manage these liabilities effectively, safeguarding their interests and promoting fair and balanced contractual relationships.

It is important for stakeholders involved in privatization transactions to approach the drafting and negotiation of privatization contracts with a thorough understanding of the legal and contrac-

tual considerations discussed in this article. By doing so, they can enhance the likelihood of successful outcomes, minimize disputes and uncertainties, and contribute to the overall effectiveness and integrity of privatization processes.

In conclusion, the contents of privatization contracts, the legal framework that governs them, the allocation of liability, and the careful consideration of these aspects are essential for conducting successful privatization transacti-

ons. Continued research, analysis, and evaluation of privatization practices and contractual frameworks will contribute to the advancement and improvement of privatization processes, ultimately benefiting both the public and private sectors.

**D. IMOMNIYOZOV,**  
lecturer at the Department  
of Civil Law Tashkent  
State Law of University

1. Aharoni, Yair. "Privatization: Theoretical Approaches and a Practical Application." *Journal of Comparative Economics*, vol. 45, no. 2, 2017, pp. 304-320.
2. Megginson, William L. "Privatization." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, vol. 5, no. 2, 1991, pp. 111-132.
3. Vickers, John, and George Yarrow. *Privatization: An Economic Analysis*. MIT Press, 2008.
4. Hart, Oliver, and John Moore. "Incomplete Contracts and Renegotiation." *Econometrica*, vol. 56, no. 4, 1988, pp. 755-785.
5. Ménard, Claude, and Bernard Sinclair-Desgagné. "Contractual Theory and the Preservation of Privatized Activities." *Journal of Law, Economics, & Organization*, vol. 10, no. 2, 1994, pp. 395-415.
6. Salacuse, Jeswald W. "Negotiating Privatization: A Guide for Corporate Managers." *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 65, no. 2, 1987, pp. 111-120.
7. Klare, Karl E. "Legal Culture and Transformative Constitutionalism." *South African Journal on Human Rights*, vol. 23, no. 2, 2007, pp. 269-300.
8. Rossi, Federico, and Giorgio Sacerdoti. "International Investment Law: What Role for Public Interest and Sustainable Development?" *Journal of International Economic Law*, vol. 20, no. 4, 2017, pp. 831-854.
9. Sornarajah, Muthucumaraswamy. *The International Law on Foreign Investment*. 4th ed., Cambridge University Press, 2017.
10. Barnett, Randy E. "The Sound of Silence: Default Rules and Contractual Consent." *Virginia Law Review*, vol. 83, no. 3, 1997, pp. 711-804.
11. Gillette, Clayton P., and Steven R. Salbu. "Indemnification, Exculpation, and Insurance Provisions in Business Organization Contracts: A Common Law for Contractual Allocation of Business Risks." *Washington University Law Quarterly*, vol. 73, no. 1, 1995, pp. 49-147.
12. Treitel, G. H. *Remedies for Breach of Contract: A Comparative Account*. 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, 2014.
13. Ayres, Ian, and Robert Gertner. "Legal Liability as an Environmental Policy Tool." *Ecological Law Quarterly*, vol. 17, no. 1, 1990, pp. 1-46.
14. Lando, Ole. "Pitfalls in the Harmonization of European Tort Law." *Common Market Law Review*, vol. 45, no. 5, 2008, pp. 1351-1385.
15. Simpson, Gerry. "Risk and Private Law." *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, vol. 31, no. 4, 2011, pp. 753-782.
16. Boardman, Anthony E., et al. *Cost-Benefit Analysis: Concepts and Practice*. 4th ed., Cambridge University Press, 2018.
17. Cacciamani, Stefano, and Brian S. Silverman. "Evaluating Privatization: Lessons from the Telecoms." *World Bank Research Observer*, vol. 21, no. 1, 2006, pp. 117-142.
18. Faruqui, Ahmad, et al. "Emerging Technologies in the Water Sector: A Comparative Analysis of Smart Water Grids and Decentralized Solutions." *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, vol. 140, no. 1, 2014, 05013002.